


# THE CALIFORNIA CHESS REPORTER

VOLUME XIII NUMBER 6



White to play and draw

FROM: 244 Kearny Street, San Francisco, Calif. 94108

TO:

David Lawson  
111 Montague St.,  
Brooklyn 2, N.Y.

# THE CALIFORNIA CHESS REPORTER

Vol. XIII, No. 6

\$2 per year

April, 1964

THE CALIFORNIA CHESS REPORTER, 244 Kearny Street, San Francisco 8

Monthly except January, March, August, and October

Official Organ of the California State Chess Federation

Editor: Guthrie McClain

Associate Editors: Gordon S. Barrett, Los Angeles; Dr. Mark W. Eudey, Berkeley;  
Neil T. Austin, Sacramento; Irving Rivise, Los Angeles

Games Editor: Robert E. Burger

Guest Annotator: Intl. Master Imre Konig

Second-class postage paid at San Francisco, California

## CONTENTS

So. Calif. Team Matches ...	82-84	Los Angeles Open by Pyne ....	89
Monterey Park - Addison ....	85	Game of the Month .....	89-90
So. Central Valley Open .....	86	Games .....	91-95
San Fernando - Goldberg .....	87	Tasks .....	96
Bi-Club, Barrett Wins .....	88		

SOUTHERN CALIFORNIA: The Herman Steiner Chess Club won two titles in the 1964 Southern California Chess League team tournament, Division A and Division C. Westchester won Division B, edging out the Steiner Juniors, and San Fernando Valley won Division D. There were 38 teams in the tournament.

SAN LUIS OBISPO: Walt Cunningham and John Blackstone tied for first place in the 1964 College Championship with 4-1 scores.

MONTEREY PARK: William G. Addison took first place in the International Fund Tournament, 6-0. His brilliant win over Tibor Weinberger is Game of the Month.

VISALIA: Duncan Suttles of Reno is the first champion of the South Central Valley Open, held at the College of the Sequoias.

STEINER CLUB SWEEPS SOUTHERN CALIFORNIA TEAM MATCHES

Two teams from the Herman Steiner Chess Club won division titles in the 1964 team tournament. San Fernando Valley won Division D, which did not have a Steiner entry, and Westchester edged the Steiner Juniors in Division B.

DIVISION A

The Steiner Masters won on total points, the scoring in use in the Southern California League, with a total of  $33\frac{1}{2}$  to Santa Monica's 31. The match between Steiner and Santa Monica was a 3-3 tie:

<u>STEINER MASTERS</u>		<u>SANTA MONICA</u>	
1. Bill Addison (2450)	$\frac{1}{2}$	Tibor Weinberger (2333)	$\frac{1}{2}$
2. Irving Rivise (2250)	$\frac{1}{2}$	Norman Lessing (2192)	$\frac{1}{2}$
3. Jack Moskowitz (2250)	1	Saul Wanetick (2250)	0
4. Carl Pilnick (2230)	$\frac{1}{2}$	Ray Martin (2174)	$\frac{1}{2}$
5. Harry Borochow (2200)	0	Paul Quillen (2146)	1
6. Jim Lazos (2210)	$\frac{1}{2}$	Arthur Spiller (2066)	$\frac{1}{2}$
	3		3

The figures in parentheses are the ratings used in the League. In addition to the six players listed above for each team, the following were used during the season: Sven Almgren (2140) and Al Bisno (unrated) for Steiner and Bob Cross (2240), Leslie Simon (2229), I. Levitan (unrated) and Ed Kennedy (2061) for Santa Monica.

In order to save the large number of pages necessary to report each team match, we summarize the tournament in the following cross-table. Note that the match result is not official, only the total points being counted.

	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	Points	Matches
1. Steiner Masters	X	3	$5\frac{1}{2}$	5	$4\frac{1}{2}$	$5\frac{1}{2}$	4	6	$33\frac{1}{2}$	$6\frac{1}{2} - \frac{1}{2}$
2. Santa Monica	3	X	4	6	$4\frac{1}{2}$	$4\frac{1}{2}$	$4\frac{1}{2}$	$4\frac{1}{2}$	31	$6\frac{1}{2} - \frac{1}{2}$
3. Pasadena	$\frac{1}{2}$	2	X	$1\frac{1}{2}$	6	1	$5\frac{1}{2}$	5	$21\frac{1}{2}$	3 - 4
4. L. A. State	1	0	$4\frac{1}{2}$	X	1	4	3	$5\frac{1}{2}$	19	$3\frac{1}{2} - 3\frac{1}{2}$
5. Steiner II	$1\frac{1}{2}$	$1\frac{1}{2}$	0	5	X	4	5	2	19	3 - 4
6. City Terrace	$\frac{1}{2}$	$1\frac{1}{2}$	5	2	2	X	3	$4\frac{1}{2}$	$18\frac{1}{2}$	$2\frac{1}{2} - 4\frac{1}{2}$
7. San Fernando Val.	2	$1\frac{1}{2}$	$\frac{1}{2}$	3	1	3	X	$3\frac{1}{2}$	$14\frac{1}{2}$	2 - 5
8. Monterey Park	0	$1\frac{1}{2}$	1	$\frac{1}{2}$	4	$1\frac{1}{2}$	$2\frac{1}{2}$	X	11	1 - 6

DIVISION B

The young team from the Steiner club, the Juniors, were nosed out in Division B by a small margin by the more consistent Westchester team, as a result of the adjourned matches. The Juniors: Jester, Sacks, Bliss, Metz, DomRogoin, Kluz, and Rhee. The Westchester players: Larsen, Bullockus, Maillard, Huffman, Stolpe, Snow, and Wildschutz.

	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	Points	Matches
1. Westchester	X	3	2	4	5	2½	5½	4	6	6	38	6½-2½
2. Steiner Juniors	3	X	4	3	2½	4	4	4	6	6	36½	7 -2
3. Riverside - S. B.	4	2	X	4½	3	4	3½	3	4	4½	32½	7 -2
4. Downey A	2	3	1½	X	5	3	4½	3½	4½	5	32	6 -3
5. S. F. Valley 2	1	3½	3	1	X	3½	4½	5	5	5½	32	6½-2½
6. Santa Monica B	3½	2	1½	3	2½	X	3½	3½	4½	5½	30	5½-3½
7. Steiner Gallants	½	2	2½	1½	1½	2½	X	6	5	4	25½	3 -6
8. Covina	2	2	3	2½	1	2½	0	X	2½	5½	21	1½-7½
9. Pasadena B	0	0	2	1½	1	1½	1	3½	X	3½	14	2 -7
10. J. P. L.	0	0	1½	1	½	½	2	½	2½	X	8½	0 -9

DIVISION C

Another Steiner team, the Cavaliers, turned in a perfect 9-0 match score in winning first place in Division C. The official point score was 44½ -11 points more than the runner-up Downey team. The Cavaliers: Mazner, Gibbs, Gates, Grumette, Steele, Kleinman, Carlton, Bukey, Smith, Dorn, Cowley and Drucker.

	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	Points	Matches
1. Steiner Cavaliers	X	5	5	5½	5	4	4	6	4½	5½	44½	9 - 0
2. Downey B	1	X	3½	2½	5	3	3	5	5	5½	33½	6 - 2
3. Whittier A	1	2½	X	4	3½	3½	3½	4½	4	6	32½	7 - 2
4. Monterey Park B	½	3½	2	X	3½	2½	5½	3½	5½	5½	32	4 - 3
5. Long Beach State A	1	1	2½	2½	X	4	6	3	5	5	30	4½-4½
6. San Fernando Valley 3	2	3	2½	3½	2	X	4	5	3½	3	28½	5 - 4
7. El Segundo A	2	3	2½	½	0	2	X	2½	3½	5	21	2½-6½
8. Whittier Tercels	0	1	1½	2½	3	1	3½	X	2½	4½	19½	2½-6½
9. J. P. L. II	1½	1	2	½	1	2½	2½	3½	X	5	19½	2 - 7
10. L. A. State II	½	½	0	½	1	3	1	1½	1	X	9	½ -8½

Note: Figures in parentheses indicate games or matches unfinished.

DIVISION D

San Fernando Valley-4 won first place in Division D by a close margin, with  $35\frac{1}{2}$  points over El Segundo and Penthouse, who were tied with  $34\frac{1}{2}$  points. This division was closely contested, as El Segundo had the same  $6\frac{1}{2}$ - $2\frac{1}{2}$  match score as the winners and Penthouse actually outscored them, 7-2. The members of the San Fernando team: Gehman, Hatfield, Crowley, Ross, Jackson, Godbehere, Kaiser, Webb, Clarke, Neustaedter, Stephenson, Lubin, Rooner and Romo.

	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	Points	Matches
1. San Fernando Val. 4	X	$2\frac{1}{2}$	$1\frac{1}{2}$	3	4	5	$4\frac{1}{2}$	4	6	5	$35\frac{1}{2}$	$6\frac{1}{2}$ - $2\frac{1}{2}$
2. El Segundo B	$3\frac{1}{2}$	X	2	3	5	$2\frac{1}{2}$	$4\frac{1}{2}$	$4\frac{1}{2}$	4	$5\frac{1}{2}$	$34\frac{1}{2}$	$6\frac{1}{2}$ - $2\frac{1}{2}$
3. Penthouse	$4\frac{1}{2}$	4	X	$3\frac{1}{2}$	2	2	4	5	5	$4\frac{1}{2}$	$34\frac{1}{2}$	7 - 2
4. Monterey Park C	3	3	$2\frac{1}{2}$	X	$3\frac{1}{2}$	2	2	$4\frac{1}{2}$	$4\frac{1}{2}$	5	30	5 - 4
5. City Terrace Valiants	2	1	4	$2\frac{1}{2}$	X	4	6	$3\frac{1}{2}$	$2\frac{1}{2}$	4	$29\frac{1}{2}$	5 - 4
6. Westchester II	1	$3\frac{1}{2}$	4	4	2	X	1	2	4	4	$25\frac{1}{2}$	5 - 4
7. L. A. State III	$1\frac{1}{2}$	$1\frac{1}{2}$	2	4	0	5	X	$2\frac{1}{2}$	4	4	$24\frac{1}{2}$	4 - 5
8. State Highways	2	$1\frac{1}{2}$	1	$1\frac{1}{2}$	$2\frac{1}{2}$	4	$3\frac{1}{2}$	X	3	5	24	$3\frac{1}{2}$ - $5\frac{1}{2}$
9. Long Beach State B	0	2	1	$1\frac{1}{2}$	$3\frac{1}{2}$	2	2	3	X	$3\frac{1}{2}$	$18\frac{1}{2}$	$2\frac{1}{2}$ - $6\frac{1}{2}$
10. J. P. L. III	1	$\frac{1}{2}$	$1\frac{1}{2}$	1	2	2	2	1	$2\frac{1}{2}$	X	$13\frac{1}{2}$	0 - 9

CUNNINGHAM AND BLACKSTONE COLLEGE CO-CHAMPIONS

Walt Cunningham of L. A. State College and John Blackstone of San Jose tied for first place in the sixth annual California Intercollegiate Chess Championship, held on the campus of California State Polytechnic College in San Luis Obispo.

California Intercollegiate Championship, San Luis Obispo, 1964						
	1	2	3	4	5	Score
1. W. Cunningham	W7	D3	D2	W5	W6	4 - 1
2. J. Blackstone	W6	D10	D1	W3	W4	4 - 1
3. Castle	W9	D1	W4	L2	W8	$3\frac{1}{2}$ - $1\frac{1}{2}$
4. Tossas	W10	W5	L3	W7	L2	3 - 2
5. Mortz, J.	W8	L4	W6	L1	W7	3 - 2
6. Davison	L2	W8	L5	W9	L1	2 - 3
7. Dulash	L1	W9	W10	L4	L5	2 - 3
8. Gist	L5	L6	W9	W10	L3	2 - 3
9. Collin	L3	L7	L8	L6	W10	1 - 4
10. Sloan	L4	D2	L7	L8	L9	$\frac{1}{2}$ - $4\frac{1}{2}$

ADDISON WINS MONTEREY PARK INTERNATIONAL FUND TOURNAMENT

Bill Addison added another title to his collection when he scored a perfect 6-0 in Monterey Park's tournament to aid the USCF international fund. The first prize was \$100. Walt Cunningham won the second prize of \$25 with a 5-1 score. F. Sleep won the Class A prize, Ed Barrios won Class B, and Jim McDonough took Class C honors.

MONTEREY PARK INTERNATIONAL FUND OPEN, April 1964

	1	2	3	4	5	6	Score
1. Addison	W22	W9	W7	W4	W5	W6	6 - 0
2. Cunningham	W23	W20	L5	W12	W8	W4	5 - 1
3. Robinson	W24	W13	L9	W19	W14	D5	4½ - 1½
4. Weinberger	W10	W8	W3	L1	W9	L2	4 - 2
5. Jacobs	W29	D14	W2	W6	L1	D3	4 - 2
6. McDonough	W15	W30	W10	L5	W7	L1	4 - 2
7. Kupersmith	W18	W19	L1	W11	L6	W12	4 - 2
8. Spiller	W21	L4	W24	W16	L2	W15	4 - 2
9. Quillen	W28	L1	W30	W13	L4	W16	4 - 2
10. Barrios	L4	W28	L6	W24	D17	W20	3½ - 2½
11. Sleep	D27	L15	W23	L7	W26	W19	3½ - 2½
12. Rogosin	L19	W18	W25	L2	W13	L7	3 - 3
13. W. F. Hall	W17	L3	W20	L9	L12	W24	3 - 3
14. Sarley	W25	D5	L16	W26	L3	D17	3 - 3
15. Carr	L6	W11	D26	W29	D16	L8	3 - 3
16. Kakimi	D30	W27	W14	L8	D15	L9	3 - 3
17. Pye	L13	W21	L19	W25	D10	D14	3 - 3
18. Rader	L7	L12	W27	L20	W28	W25	3 - 3
19. Boyko	W12	L7	W17	L3	D20	L11	2½ - 3½
20. Zizda	W26	L2	L13	W18	D19	L10	2½ - 3½
21. Jiron	L8	L17	D22	W30	L24	W29	2½ - 3½
22. Hatch	L1	L24	D21	W27	L25	W26	2½ - 3½
23. Oganosov	L2	L26	L11	D28	W30	W27	2½ - 3½
24. Glassberg	L3	W22	L8	L10	W21	L13	2 - 4
25. Bisplinghoff	L14	W29	L12	L17	W22	L18	2 - 4
26. A. H. Hall	L20	W23	D15	L14	L11	L22	1½ - 4½
27. Brock	D11	L16	L18	L22	W29	L23	1½ - 4½
28. Cohan	L9	L10	L29	D23	L18	W30	1½ - 4½
29. Boye	L5	L25	W28	L15	L27	L21	1 - 5
30. Olsson	D16	L6	L9	L21	L23	L28	½ - 5½

FIRST SOUTH CENTRAL VALLEY CHESS OPEN by Chris Fotias

Duncan Suttles, math major from the University of Nevada, swept the field, 6-0, in the first South Central Valley Open held in Visalia the week-end of April 3-5, 1964. Norman Miller and Phil Smith of Fresno tied for second place with  $4\frac{1}{2}$  points. W. Cornwall of Reno and R. Katzl of Hollywood tied for third with 4. Dr. T. Bullockus of Santa Monica, Frank Boschan of San Francisco and John Clark of Glendale shared fourth with  $3\frac{1}{2}$ . 26 players registered for the Swiss-type, six-round tournament, sponsored by the C. O. S. Chess Club and supported by the Visalia and Fresno Chess Clubs. The tournament was held at the College of the Sequoias' student union. Dr. Theodore Bullockus was tournament director.

	1	2	3	4	5	6	Score
1. D. Suttles	W9	W14	W6	W13	W3	W2	6
2. N. Miller	W22	D3	W5	W7	W13	L1	$4\frac{1}{2}$
3. P. Smith	W16	D2	W33	W19	L1	W6	$4\frac{1}{2}$
4. W. Cornwall	W7	W11	L13	D6	D5	W10	4
5. R. Katzl	W8	D15	L2	W20	D4	W12	4
6. Dr. T. Bullockus	W17	W19	L1	D4	W10	L2	$3\frac{1}{2}$
7. F. Boschan	L4	W9	W25	L2	D11	W17	$3\frac{1}{2}$
8. J. Clark	L5	L12	W22	W15	W20*	D11	$3\frac{1}{2}$
9. W. Collin	L1	L7	W16	W23	L12	W21	3
10. R. Swanson	L19	W21	W17	W12	L6	L4	3
11. J. Tillinghast	D23	L4	D21	W17	D7	D8	3
12. G. Wren	L18	W8	W14	L10	W9	L5	3
13. R. Baker	W21	W20	W18	L1	L2	L14*	3
14. R. Henderson	W24	L1	L12	W25	L15	W13*	3
15. C. Fotias	W26	D5	L20	L8	W14	L18	$2\frac{1}{2}$
16. L. Gist	L3	L23	L9	D22	W24	W25	$2\frac{1}{2}$
17. R. G. Clark	L6	W24	L10	L11	W25	L7	2
18. J. King	W12	- -	- -	- -	- -	W15	2
19. Dr. R. St. Clair	W10	L6	W24	L3	- -	- -	2
20. W. Banerdt	W25	L13	W15	L5	L8*	- -	2
21. J. Lovejoy	L13	L10	D11	L24	W22	L9	$1\frac{1}{2}$
22. R. Rankin	L2	L25	L8	D16	L21	W24	$1\frac{1}{2}$
23. D. Baker	D11	W16	L3	L9	- -	- -	$1\frac{1}{2}$
24. T. Stamper	L14	L17	L19	W21	L16	L22	1
25. E. Keith	L20	W22	L7	L14	L17	L16	1
26. W. Barlow	L15	- -	- -	- -	- -	- -	0

GOLDBERG SAN FERNANDO CLUB CHAMPION

N. Goldberg won the San Fernando club championship by the score of 6-1. The tournament was finished in December, 1963, and we regret that we overlooked the report during the holidays. The crosstable:

	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	Score
1. Goldberg	W26	D8	W11	W16	W3	D2	W7	6 - 1
2. Samuelson	W29	W6	L3	W11	W10	D1	W9	5½-1½
3. Katzl	W34	W10	W2	D4	L1	W16	W8	5½-1½
4. Levin	W21	D9	W20	D3	L5	W17	W10*	5 - 2
5. Kurruk	W22	D20	D8	D26	W4	D6	W14	5 - 2
6. Rubin	W23	L2	W17	D9	W26	D5	W16	5 - 2
7. J. Freed	W17	W28	L16*	W19	W8	D9	L1	4½-2½
8. Hernandez	W13	D1	D5	W23	L7	W21	L3	4 - 3
9. Szafir	W15	D4	D12	D6	W18*	D7	L2	4 - 3
10. Myhro	W25	L3	W28	W12	L2	W11	L4*	4 - 3
11. Bennett	W30	W33*	L1	L2	W22	L10	W24	4 - 3
12. Melworm	D27	W19	D9	L10	L17	W26	W22	4 - 3
13. Lubin	L8	W15	L26	W28*	W31*	L14	W21	4 - 3
14. Nogay	L28	W24	L21	W29	W23	W13	L5	4 - 3
15. Kaiser	L9	L13	W30	W25	L21	W31*	W20	4 - 3
16. Neustaedter	D18	W31	W7*	L1	W20	L3	L6	3½-3½
17. Romo	L7	W34*	L6	W32*	W12	L4	D18	3½-3½
18. Selleck	D16	L27	W19	W22	L9*	D20	D17	3½-3½
19. Berez	D31	L12	L18	L7	W25	W27	W26	3½-3½
20. King	W32	D5	L4	W27	L16	D18	L15	3 - 4
21. Ross	L4	W30	W14	L31	W15	L8	L13	3 - 4
22. Stephenson	L5	W32	W31*	L18	L11	W23	L12	3 - 4
23. Miller	L6	W29	W27	L8	L14	L22	W30	3 - 4
24. Siegel	L33*	L14	L25	W30	W29	W28	L11	3 - 4
25. Netkin	L10	L26*	W24	L15	L19	W Bye	W28	3 - 4
26. Boyce	L1	W25*	W13	D5	L6	L12	L19	2½-4½
27. Mitchell	D12	W18	L23	L20	L28	L19	W Bye	2½-4½
28. Lohman	W14	L7	L10	L13*	W27	L24	L25	2 - 5
29. H. Freed	L2	L23	Bye	L14	L24	L30	W31	2 - 5
30. Nichols	L11	L21	L15	L24	W Bye	W29	L23	2 - 5
31. Shepard	D19	L16	L22*	W21	L13*	L15*	L29*	1½-6½
32. Farrish	L20	L22	W29*	L17*	- -	- -	- -	1 - 6
33. Myers	W24*	L11*	- -	- -	- -	- -	- -	1 - 6
34. Thomas	L3	L17*	L32*	- -	- -	- -	- -	0 - 7



GORDON BARRETT WINS BI-CLUB INVITATIONAL

Gordon Barrett won the Bi-club invitational held at Monterey Park in December, 1963, and January, 1964, with a 6-1 score, half a point ahead of Robert Lake, a newcomer from Ohio. Barrett won the \$100 first prize and also the \$25 Class A prize. Lake won \$50 for second. The Class B prize went to Frank Frilling and Yuri Oganosov.

	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	Score
1. G. Barrett	W28	W3	W11	W9	W2	D4	D6	6 - 1
2. R. Lake	W18	W6	W5	W13	L1	D3	W10	5½-1½
3. C. Marin	W22	L1	W25	W10	W5	D2	D4	5 - 2
4. J. Earnest	D20	W30	W8	W12	D9	D1	D3	5 - 2
5. B. Kakimi	W23	W7	L2	W16	L3	W24	W9*	5 - 2
6. S. Parker	W16	L2	D18	W11	W17	W14	D1	5 - 2
7. H. Keesey	W24	L5	L17	W21	W18	W15	D8	4½-2½
8. E. Amdon	D25	W15	L4	D19	W12	D9	D7	4 - 3
9. F. Sleep	W29	W27	W14	L1	D4	D8	L5*	4 - 3
10. W. Thornton	W17	L14	W15	L3	W19	W16	L2	4 - 3
11. J. Zizda	D15	W19	L1	L6	D22	W27	W17	4 - 3
12. S. Anderson	D19	D25	W24	L4	L8	W18	W14	4 - 3
13. Dr. R. Brow	D30	W20	W21	L2	L14	D17	W24	4 - 3
14. T. Fries	W21	W10	L9	D17	W13	L6	L12	3½-3½
15. Y. Oganosov	D11	L8	L10	W20	W28	L7	W26	3½-3½
16. Fk. Frilling	L6	W22*	W27	L5	W25	L10	D20	3½-3½
17. M. Carr	L10	W28	W7	D14	L6	D13	L11	3 - 4
18. F. Pye	L2	W29	D6	D22	L7	L12	W27	3 - 4
19. Fd. Frilling	D12	L11	W20	D8	L10	D26	D22	3 - 4
20. A. Hatch	D4	L13	L19	L15	W29	W21	D16	3 - 4
21. E. Willette	L14	W26	L13	L7	W23	L20	W25	3 - 4
22. W. Hayes	L3	L16*	W28	D18	D11	D25	D19	3 - 4
23. C. Swett	L5	D24	W30	L27	L21	W28	D29	3 - 4
24. D. Young	L7	D23	L12	W26	W27	L5	L13	2½-4½
25. E. Barrios	D8	D12	L3	W30*	L16	D22	L21	2½-4½
26. R. Pease	L27	L21	W29	L24	W30	D19	L15	2½-4½
27. N. Hultgren	W26	L9	L16	W23	L24	L11	L18	2 - 5
28. H. Rader	L1	L17	L22	W29	L15	L23	W Bye	2 - 5
29. J. Sword	L9	L18	L26	L28	L20	W Bye	D23	1½-5½
30. R. Hubbard	D23	L4	L23	L25*	L26	- -	- -	1½-6½

\* Forfeit

J. PYNE TAKES FIRST PLACE IN LOS ANGELES OPEN

A visitor from Chicago, J. Pyne, won first place in a tournament held in March at the City Terrace club. The tournament was smaller than expected - no doubt due to the fact that the team matches were on. Frank Metz directed.

## LOS ANGELES OPEN

	1	2	3	4	5	Score
1. Pyne	W6	W8	W5	D2	W4	4½ - ½
2. Fries	L9	W11	W4	D1	W7	3½ - 1½
3. Littrell	L4	W14	W8	D7	W5	3½ - 1½
4. Metz	W3	W10	L2	W12	L1	3 - 2
5. Hatch	W11	W7	L1	W10	L3	3 - 2
6. Portillo	L1	W9	L7	W11	W10	3 - 2
7. Katzl	W13	L5	W6	D3	L2	2½ - 2½
8. B. Allen	W12	L1	L3	D9	W13	2½ - 2½
9. Rader	W2	L6	L10	D8	W12	2½ - 2½
10. Thomas	W14	L4	W9	L5	L6	2 - 3
11. W. Allen	L5	L2	W13	L6	W Bye	2 - 3
12. Nosanov	L8	W13	W14	L4	L9	2 - 3
13. Orem	L7	L12	L11	W14*	L8	1 - 4
14. Darrow	L10	L3	L12	L13*	- -	0 - 5

GAME OF THE MONTH

The fourth round of the Monterey Park Tournament, 1964, brought the two major contenders together. This fact intensifies the great interest of the game itself. Addison quickly got an opening edge and wrung the exchange from his opponent, but this was only the beginning. Weinberger defended craftily, always keeping a little in reserve. The balance of forces changed from the exchange to several pieces for two minor pieces and pawns, and finally two minor pieces against bishop and connected passed pawns. The result was a study-like ending that must have pleased the spectators. It is a full game:

Game No. 782 King's Indian

White                      Black  
W. Addison                T. Weinberger

1. P-Q4                      Kt-KB3  
2. P-QB4                    P-KKt3  
3. Kt-QB3                   B-Kt2

4. P-K4            P-Q3  
 5. P-KKt3        O-O  
 6. B-Kt2           P-K4  
 7. P-Q5            QKt-Q2  
 8. QKt-K2        P-QR4  
 9. P-KR3          Kt-K1  
 10. B-K3          P-Kt3  
 11. O-O            Kt-B4  
 12. P-Kt3         B-QR3  
 13. Q-Q2          P-B4  
 14. PxP            PxP  
 15. P-B4          Q-B3  
 16. PxP            PxP  
 17. P-Q6 !        QR-Q1  
 18. B-Kt5         QxP  
 19. Q-Q5ch !     QxQ  
 20. BxQxh         RxB  
 21. KtxR          Kt-K3  
 22. B-K7          R-B2  
 23. B-R3          K-R1  
 24. QR-K1        P-Kt4  
 25. Kt-K3         P-Kt5  
 26. B-B1          Kt-Q3  
 27. R-Q1          B-Kt2  
 28. P-B5 !        KtxP  
 29. KtxP          KtxKt  
 30. R-Q8ch        B-B1  
 31. P-Kt4         ...

The point of the pawn sacrifice now becomes clear. Even with the exchange up, White tries to force the issue, knowing that "won" games still must be won.

31. ...            Kt-K3  
 32. R-Kt8         ...

Even this contingency had to be foreseen.

32. ...            B-K5  
 33. PxKt          RxP

34. RxR            BxR  
 35. Kt-Kt3 !      B-Kt8  
 36. R-K8           BxP  
 37. RxKt          BxP

The point of White's 35th move was to make the Bishop choose a diagonal, but how about those pawns ?

38. RxP            P-R5  
 39. Kt-B5         K-Kt1  
 40. Kt-R6ch      K-Kt2  
 41. R-Kt5ch      K-B3  
 42. R-B5ch       K-K2  
 43. B-Q2          K-K1  
 44. RxBch         KxR  
 45. BxPch         K-Kt2  
 46. Kt-B5ch      K-B3  
 47. Kt-K3         K-K4  
 48. B-B5          B-K3  
 49. P-R4          K-K5  
 50. K-B2          K-Q6  
 51. K-B3          B-Q2  
 52. K-B4          B-B3  
 53. Kt-Kt4        K-B5  
 54. B-B8          B-K1  
 55. K-Kt5         K-Kt6  
 56. Kt-B6         B-B2  
 57. KtxP          P-R6  
 58. K-B4          P-R7  
 59. B-Kt7         P-B4  
 60. K-K3          K-B7  
 61. Kt-Kt5        P-B5  
 62. B-R1          B-Kt3  
 63. Kt-K6         K-Kt8  
 64. K-Q2 !        KxB  
 65. K-B1          B-R4  
 66. Kt-Q4         B-Kt3  
 67. P-R5          B-R2  
 68. P-R6          B-Kt3  
 69. Kt-B3         B-R2  
 70. Kt-Q2         Resigns

1st South Central Valley Open

Game No. 783 Sicilian Defense

White	Black
D. Suttles	P. Smith

- |           |        |
|-----------|--------|
| 1. P-K4   | P-QB4  |
| 2. Kt-KB3 | Kt-QB3 |
| 3. P-Q4   | PxP    |
| 4. KtxP   | P-KKt3 |

(The Accelerated Fianchetto allows Black more counter chances than the Richter variation and is safer than the Najdorf.)

- |           |     |
|-----------|-----|
| 5. Kt-QB3 | ... |
|-----------|-----|

(More popular now than P-QB4, the so-called Maroczy "bind", no longer feared by Black.)

- |         |       |
|---------|-------|
| 5. ...  | B-Kt2 |
| 6. B-K3 | Kt-B3 |
| 7. B-K2 | ...   |

(B-QB4 is now more popular and stronger.)

- |        |      |
|--------|------|
| 7. ... | P-Q3 |
|--------|------|

(As Schwarz and Euwe show in their books on the Sicilian, better is 7. ... 0-0 and not P-Q4 because of 8. B-QKt5! After 7. ... , 0-0; 8. Q-Q2 is a mistake because of 8. ... , P-Q4! 9. PxP, KtxP or Kt-QKt5, both with a good game for Black.)

- |          |         |
|----------|---------|
| 8. Q-Q2  | Kt-KKt5 |
| 9. BxKt  | BxB     |
| 10. P-B3 | ...     |

(P-B4! is usually played here.)

- |            |      |
|------------|------|
| 10. ...    | B-Q2 |
| 11. QKt-K2 | Q-R4 |

(As Schwarz shows, Kt-K4 at once is better.

- |          |     |
|----------|-----|
| 12. P-B3 | ... |
|----------|-----|

(Suttles said he probably should have taken the queen.)

- |            |       |
|------------|-------|
| 12. ...    | Kt-K4 |
| 13. P-QKt3 | R-QB1 |

(Suttles pointed out that the restraining P-QKt4 is best.)

- |            |        |
|------------|--------|
| 14. P-QB4! | QxQ ch |
| 15. KxQ    | 0-0    |

(Kt-B3 at once seems better.)

- |           |       |
|-----------|-------|
| 16. QR-Q1 | Kt-B3 |
| 17. KtxKt | PxKt  |

(BxKt or RxKt is safer.)

- |           |      |
|-----------|------|
| 18. P-B5! | P-Q4 |
| 19. K-B2? | ...  |

(Better is K-B1.)

- |         |       |
|---------|-------|
| 19. ... | KR-Q1 |
| 20. PxP | PxP?  |

(B-B4 ch is safer; Suttles thought Black would have the advantage.)

- |            |         |
|------------|---------|
| 21. RxP!   | B-B4 ch |
| 22. RxB    | PxR     |
| 23. P-QKt4 | R-B3    |


(Perhaps R-Q3 is better.)

- |            |         |
|------------|---------|
| 24. R-QKt1 | R-QR3   |
| 25. Kt-B1  | B-R3    |
| 26. P-B4   | P-K4    |
| 27. P-Kt3  | PxP     |
| 28. PxP    | R-KKt3? |

(A blunder when R-K3, the obvious move, would save a valuable tempo that could have drawn or even won.)

- |           |         |
|-----------|---------|
| 29. P-Kt5 | R-K3    |
| 30. R-Kt3 | R-K5    |
| 31. P-B6  | BxP     |
| 32. BxP   | R-B5 ch |
| 33. R-B3  | RxR ch  |
| 34. KxR   | BxKt    |
| 35. P-QR4 | P-B5    |

36. K-B2 ...



36. ... B-R6??

(The final and fatal blunder: as Suttles pointed out after the game, ... B-K6 would still save Black. 36. ... B-K6! 37. BxB (The after-game analysis showed that P-Kt6 or P-B7 are no better for White), PxB; 38. P-B7, R-K; 39. K-Q, P-K7 ch; 40. K-K, P-B4!! (The move Black failed to see in rejecting this line.) 41. P-Kt6, P-B5; 42. P-Kt7, P-B6; 43. P-B8 (Q), P-B7 ch.; 44. KxP, P-K8ch, and draws.)

- |     |           |          |
|-----|-----------|----------|
| 37. | P-R5      | P-B6     |
| 38. | P-R6      | B-Q3     |
| 39. | B-B2      | B-B5     |
| 40. | P-R7      | R-Q7 ch  |
| 41. | K-Kt3     | RxB      |
| 42. | P-R8(Q)ch | K-Kt2    |
| 43. | Q-R1 ch   | K-B1     |
| 44. | P-Kt6     | R-K7     |
| 45. | P-B7      | Resigns. |

In the same tournament, Suttles seems to have "pulled a fast one" on an unwitting opponent:

Game No. 784 Vienna

White	Black
D. Suttles	N. Miller
1. P-K4	P-K4
2. Kt-QB3	Kt-QB3
3. B-B4	P-Q3
4. P-B4	PxP
5. Kt-B3	B-Kt5
6. Kt-K5 ?	BxQ ??
After 6. ... KtxKt White can, but probably wouldn't, resign.	
7. BxPch	K-K2
8. Kt-Q5 mate.	

We are informed by Sven Almgren that this type of mate has been named and classified, and some exotic examples will be shown in the future.

The "Chess Friends of Northern California" held their fifth annual Experts Tournament in April, 1964, at Oakland. Eric Osbun was a half-point above John Blackstone and Frank Thornally, and 1 point above Don Sutherland in the 10-man round robin. Osbun had won the first such tournament, other winners being Wang, Loftsson, and Cleghorn. Osbun reports that this tournament has been a prime developer of the Juniors in Northern California. The decisive game this year:

Game No. 785 King's Indian

White	Black
E. Osbun	J. Blackstone
1. P-Q4	Kt-KB3
2. P-QB4	P-KKt3

3. Kt-QB3	B-Kt2	2. B-Kt5	Kt-KB3
4. P-K4	P-Q3	3. BxKt	KPxB
5. P-B3	O-O	4. P-K3	Kt-B3
6. KKt-K2	P-B3	5. B-Q3	P-KKt3
7. B-Kt5	P-KR3	6. P-QB3	P-Kt3
8. B-K3	QKt-Q2	7. Kt-K2	B-QKt2
9. Q-Q2	K-R2	8. Kt-Q2	Q-K2
10. P-KKt4	P-K4	9. O-O	P-Q4
11. P-Q5	PxP	10. Kt-B3	O-O-O ?
12. BPxP	P-R3	11. P-QKt4	K-Kt1
13. Kt-Kt3	Kt-KKt1	12. Kt-Q2	P-KR4
14. P-KR4	B-B3	13. Kt-QKt3	Q-B2
15. Q-R2	B-K2	14. P-QR4	B-Q3
16. B-Q3	P-QKt4	15. P-R5	P-R5
17. O-O-O	Q-B2	16. Kt-B5	PxKt
18. K-Kt1	Kt-B4	17. KtPxP	BxPch
19. B-QB2	B-Q2	18. KxB	P-R3
20. R-QB1	Q-R4	19. R-QKt1	K-R2
21. P-Kt5	P-R4	20. Q-R4	Q-Q2
22. BxKt!	PxB	21. RxBeh	KxR
23. P-Q6!	B-Q1	22. R-Kt1ch	K-R2
24. KtxRP!	PxKt	23. BxRP	R-QKt1
25. QxP	P-Kt5	24. B-Kt5	Q-B1
26. Kt-Q5	P-B5	The saving move. White's combination is one move too early.	
27. Q-Q4	P-Kt6	25. Kt-B4	Kt-K2
28. PxP	PxP	26. P-B6	P-Kt4
29. BxP	B-K3	27. Kt-Q3	Q-Q1
30. B-B2	R-B1	28. Kt-B5	Q-Q3ch
31. KR-Q1	Q-B4	29. K-R1	KtxP
32. P-K5ch	K-Kt2	30. R-Kt3	P-R6
33. Q-K4	R-K1	31. P-Kt3	P-B5 !
34. Q-R7ch	K-B1	32. BxKt	RxR
35. Kt-B6	Resigns	33. KtxR	PxKtP

## SAN FRANCISCO BAY AREA MATCHES, 1964

(Golden Gate-U. C.)  
Game No. 786 Dutch Defense

White	Black
A. Wang	M. Eucher
1. P-Q4	P-KB4

34. White lost on time, but there is clearly no defense against P-Kt7ch. In the following game also, Eucher wins through patient defense.

Game No. 787 Alekhine's Defense

White Black  
 R. Wilcox M. Eucher  
 (Mechanics' - U. C.)

1. P-K4 Kt-KB3  
 2. P-K5 Kt-Q4  
 3. Kt-QB3 P-K3  
 4. Kt-B3 P-Q3  
 5. PxP QxP  
 6. Kt-K4 Q-K2  
 7. P-Q4 Kt-Q2  
 8. P-B4 Kt4-B3  
 9. Kt-B3 Kt-Kt3  
 10. B-Q3 P-Kt3  
 11. O-O B-Kt2  
 12. Q-K2 P-B3  
 13. P-QKt3 O-O  
 14. P-QR4 R-Q1  
 15. P-R5 Kt3-Q2  
 16. B-R3 Q-K1  
 17. B-Q6 Kt-Kt1  
 18. B-B7 R-Q2  
 19. B-K5 Kt-R3  
 20. Kt-QR4 Q-Q1  
 21. KR-Q1 Kt-R4  
 22. BxB KxB  
 23. Q-K5ch Q-B3  
 24. QxQch KtxQ  
 25. Kt-B5 KtxKt  
 26. PxKt Kt-K1  
 27. Kt-K5 R-K2  
 28. B-K2 Kt-B2  
 29. P-QKt4 P-B3  
 30. Kt-Q3 P-QR3  
 31. Kt-B1 P-K4  
 32. Kt-Kt3 B-B4  
 33. R-Q2 R1-K1  
 34. R1-Q1 P-R4

35. P-R3 P-R5  
 36. B-Kt4 BxB  
 37. PxB K-R3  
 38. K-R2 K-Kt4  
 39. P-B3 R-KR1  
 40. R-Q7 R1-R2  
 41. RxR RxR  
 42. Kt-Q2 P-B4  
 43. PxP PxP  
 44. P-Kt3 R-R2  
 45. Kt-B1 Kt-K3  
 46. R-K1 Pxpch  
 47. KxP Kt-B5  
 48. R-K3 K-B3  
 49. R-Kt3 Kt-K7ch  
 50. K-B2 Kt-Q5  
 51. R-Q3 K-Kt4  
 52. Kt-K3 R-R1

Black could have won the KB Pawn  
 at once with 52...KtxP, as 53.  
 KtxP fails against P-K5.

53. Kt-B1 K-B5  
 54. K-Kt2 R-R2  
 55. K-B2 R-R6  
 56. Kt-Q2 R-R7ch  
 57. K-B1 R-R8ch  
 58. K-B2 R-Q8  
 59. P-Kt5 R-QR8  
 60. Kt-Kt3 ...

Setting one last trap (60...R-R6?  
 61. KtxKt, RxR 62. Kt-K6 mate!)


60. ... KtxKt!  
 61. R:Kt RxP  
 62. PxRP PxP  
 63. R-Kt4 ...

Wastes a move, but the ending is  
 lost because of the advanced Black  
 King.

63. ... R-R7ch

- 64. K-K1 P-R4
- 65. R-Kt6 R-QB7
- 66. RxP RxP
- 67. R-B8 KxP
- 68. P-B6 P-K5
- 69. P-B7 P-K6
- 70. K-Q1 P-B5
- 71. Resigns

Reporter for game score). Osbun suggests 9. QKt-Q2 after 1. P-K4 Kt-KB3 2. P-K5, Kt-Q4 3. P-Q4, P-Q3 4, Kt-KB3, B-Kt5 5. B-K2, P-K3 6. O-O, Kt-QB3 7. P-B4, KKt-K2 8. PxP, QxP. White stands stronger.



Two interesting theoretical notes are supplied by Eric Osbun.

In the game Addison-Rivise from last year's state championship, the opening went:

- 1. P-Q4 P-Q4
- 2. P-QB4 PxP
- 3. Kt-KB3 Kt-KB3
- 4. P-K3 B-Kt5
- 5. BxP P-K3
- 6. Q-Kt3 BxKt
- 7. PxB QKt-Q2
- 8. QxP P-B4
- 9. Kt-B3 B-K2
- 10. PxP KtxP
- 11. Q-B6ch K-B1
- 12. P-B4 ...

Here Black should play Kt3-K5, with great pressure. The same move was played by Tal against Hodos, USSR championship, 1962, the only difference being that the White KR was at KKt1 and the Black KKtP at Kt3.

In the same tournament, Addison lost to Kupersmith (See The

The above position occurred in a decisive game from the San Bernardino Open (to be reported in full next month). Jacobs (White) needed to win to place in the money. After the carefully calculated

- 1. P-Q6 ...

Black (Maillard) could not Queen the pawn. For example: 1... P-R8 (Q) 2. Q-B7ch, K-K3 3. Q-K7ch, K-Q4 4. P-B4ch, K-B4 5. P-Q7ch, K-B3 6. P-Q8 (Kt) ch, K-Kt3 7. Q-Kt7ch, K-R4 8. Q-R7ch, K-Kt5 9. Kt-B6ch K-Kt6 10. Kt-Q4ch, K-Kt5 11. QxQ and wins. He therefore had to play

- 1. ... QxPch
- 2. QxQ ...

Somewhat better was KxQ.


- 2. ... P-R8 (Q)
- 3. Q-B7ch K-K3
- 4. Q-K7ch K-K4
- 5. P-Q7 Q-Q8ch
- 6. K-B3 Q-B8ch

and should draw. But the WK escaped!




TASKS

No. 217  
F. J. PROKOP  
Third Prize  
"64", 1926



White to draw

No. 218  
VLADIMIR KOROLKHOV  
LEOPOLD MITROFANOV  
First Prize, FIDE Ty. 1957-8



White to draw

Good examples of modern study composition with "natural" positions.

## SOLUTIONS:

- No. 211: K-Kt6, with three Knight promotions on separate squares  
 No. 212: 1. B-R1 2. R-KKt2 One of the simplest Indians in existence
- No. 213: ("Retrograde" analysis should appear on line 1-2 of text.)  
 White must have had moves to make for Black to play R-R1, R-B1 and R-B2. The only possibility was a White Rook moving from Kkt1 to KR1. What happened to it? After the Black Rook got to B2, the Black King went on an odyssey via Q8 to capture it, being careful to enter Q8 only when the Rook was on R1, and then returning to the position. The position is therefore legal. (The logic is in the analysis, not in the alleged players' motives.)
- No. 214: 1. Q-R8 ! (not 1. Q-R1, P-K5), P-K5 2. Q-R3, etc.  
 P-Kt6 2. Q-Kt2  
 Move the pieces one square left: 1 Q-Kt1, P-Q5 2. Q-R1ch.