

Published by the Precita Valley Chess Club Representing The San Francisco Bay Area Chess League

Vol. 3, No. 7 July 1958 - 534 Precita Avenue, San Francisco 10, California

59th Annual

THE 1958 U. S. OPEN AT ROCHESTER, MINITESOTA AUGUST 4TH TO 16TH

If you have the time and choice of your vacation this Summer, you cannot pick a better place to spend it than in the small city (population 35,000) of friendly and fast growing Rochester, Minnesota; and you cannot pick a better time than from August 4th to 16th when the U. S. Open Championship Chess Tourney will be taking place. The community may be small, but Rochester has 18 hotels with 1930 rooms; 29 motels with 565 units; 86 guest homes with 800 rooms—, a total of 3,295 rooms which should be plenty. However, little Rochester becomes a busy place during the Summer months as some 1,000 persons register daily at the famous Mayo Clinic there and they, too, must have housing. Therefor, you may save yourself some time and trouble by registering

Jerry Spann To Be At Sonoma

VALLEY OF THE MOON CHESS FESTIVAL JULY 27

A special added attraction at the annual Valley of the Moon Chess Festival at Sonoma, Calif., Sunday, July 27th, will be a simultaneous exhibition by USCF President Jerry Spann. It is the time to take the family on a picnic and get in three rounds of chess at the same time.

The entry fee is \$1.50 for those announcing their intentions before July 22nd, and \$2.50 for those entering after July 22nd so mail in your entry now to Lois McVeigh, secretary, Sonoma Valley Chamber of Cormerce, Sonoma, Calif. Add a dollar extra if you wish to take part in the simultaneous exhibition versus Jerry Spann. Prizes will be awarded to section winners (4-player sections), and trophies will be awarded division winners. There will be an "Expert-A", "B", "C", "Women's", and "Junior" division. There are also prizes and trophies for various club secres so be sure to name your club affiliation on your entry. George Koltanowski will be tournement director.

Jim Reynolds will be glad to help those with transportation problems as much as possible, so if you plan to take part in this event, phone MIssion 8-5759 if you need a ride or if you have a car and can accommodate others.

SFRACI TEAM SEASON REVIEW PAGES 4 AND 5.

ncw, so write to the Rochester Chamber of Commerce, 212 First Ave., S.W., Rochester, Minnesota and ask for their five folders (sent free) on Rochester and its hotels, motels, and guest rooms with prices. After doing this, make your accommodation reservations right away.

Your next best move in planning to take part in this great chess event is to send your entry and entry fee (\$15 if a USCF member or \$20 if not) to Mr. Alden Riley, 635 Eighth Ave., S.W., North St. Paul, Minnesota.

There are many surprises in store for you if you think there is nothing to do in such a small community. Minnesota is celebrating its centennial year and Rochester, along with all other communities in the state, will participate in the celebration. As a matter of fact. the 1958 U. S. Open is one of the events contributing to the state's centennial The recreational facilities celebration. of Rochester are excellent. There are ten city parks, of which the largest is Soldiers Field, including an 18-hole public golf course. Swimming, fishing, boating, and horse-back riding can be enjoyed by visitors to Rochester. Sports fans can enjoy baseball, archery, trapshooting, and tennis. Silver lake and many other lakes are nearby. You can truly have a delightful vacation while taking part in a big chess event in this friendly Minnesota town.

Vol. 3 CHESS HERALD No. 7 July 1958

Editor......Jim Reynolds
Games Editor......Noel Renaud
Feature Writers: Lowell Tullis and
Robert Barringer

Published monthly by the Precita Valley Chess Club, 534 Precita Avenue, San Francisco 10, Calif. Phone VA 4-0552 Subscription rate: \$1.00 per year. Mail to editor, 117 Brewster St., S. F. 10. Affiliated with The SAN FRANCISCO BAY AREA CHESS LEAGUE.

Precita Valley Club Directory:

Director: Ieighton Allen, 1758 -15th St.,
San Francisco 3, Calif. UN 1-3435
Ass't Director: Paul Bogosian, 3973-A 25th St., San Francisco 14 VA 4-7106
Secretary: Ivan Vegvary, 643 Greenwich,
San Francisco, Calif. GA 1-3597
Treasurer: John Ramirez, 328 Mt. Vernon
Ave., San Francisco 12 JU 7-6424
Team Captains:

- (1) Dave Gibson, 2042 Hyde St., San Francisco, Calif. PR 5-1153
- (2) Lowell Tullis, 59 Stoneybrook Av. San Francisco 12, Calif JU 7-2245

PROBLEM IADDER STANDINGS

After three months of the competitive CHESS HERAID "Problem Ladder", the standings, including solutions to No. 14, are as follows:

15

10

John Ishkan, Fairfield, Conn.

Lowell Tullis, S.F., Calif.

Dave Gibson, S.F., Calif. 6 5 Ted Gee, S.F., Calif. Mike Kramer, S.F., Calif. Ted Hendrickson, S.F, Calif. First prize of at least \$5.00 will be awarded to that person on top on April 11, 1959, and to any person on top every six months thereafter. Solvers must be subscribers of CHESS HERALD. Problem 14 was not meant to be so difficult but only two solvers sent in correct solutions. It was a 3-mover so please note rules stated heretofore that the key move plus the second move must be given any problem other than a two mover. Correct for Problem No. 14 were John Ishkan and Dave Gibson. Hendrickson was correct for the key move but failed to name the 2nd move leading to mate.

This edition introduces a new series, HUMOROUS GAMES, which are from the files of Monsier Robert "BoB" Barringer. The idea is the same as it always is with CHESS HERAID, i.e. not to be technical, but to entertain the reader. After looking over the material that Mr. Barringer presented, your editor felt that it fell within the category of this periodical. I can only promise you that Bob Barringer will present many interesting, humorous, nonsensical, and just plain nutty and batty games ----, and as presented in his way of telling them. Perhaps, even you, have been persuaded to take a sitting with him while he shows you the humorous side of chess. If so, then you will enjoy his series, and if not, well, brother, you're lucky you're not around where he can call you over and waste a lot of time just showing you something "cute". Instead, you can look at it all on your own time in CHESS HERAID. All joking aside, I sincerely feel that Bob is a fine addition to the staff of this humble periodical and the works he produces are very entertaining.

S. F. City Championship Tourney

According to the latest I gather from SFBACI Pres. Guthrie McClain, the S. F. City Championship Tourney will revert back to the way it was done previous to last year. Not knowing much about it, I just say watch for this tourney to start soon--, very soon.

Sorry Rochester, Can't Be There Being the chess fan I am I would like very much to attend the USCF Open at Rochester next month, but I just can't be there. At the CSCF I declined to rerun as a director merely because of this. Along with Messrs. Gross and McClain, I feel that directors should be able to attend this important national event, so I declined, but thanks for your support anyhow. As it is, I just started on a new job with very wonderful employers, and maybe someday they will let me take off to play in a U.S. Open -- at least I may make it by 1960 when San Francisco is the site! ---- Editor

P. V. Club Ladder Standing & Ratings

The following standings and ratings

inclu	ude games played up to	July	10th.
Rung	Player	Class	Rating
1	Leighton Allen	A	1669
2	James Gough	. A	1665
3	Mike Kramer	B.	1367
4	Bob Blakemore	В	1367
5	Don Lundmark	В	1013
6	Ronald Meyers	. B	1428
7	Dave Gibson	A	1634
8	Ivan Vegvary	В	1428
9	Ted Gee	B	1486
10	Jim Reynolds	A	1601
11	John Ramirez	. В .	1452
12	Noel Renaud		
13	Paul Bogosian	В.	1405
14	Lowell Tullis	. A	1542
15	A. R. Castellanos		1501
16	Erwin Poka	В	1151
17	George Lydeard		899
18	Scott Smith	C	800
19	Dave Fromer	C	847

Lowell Tullis, who is now the club's rating statistician, points out that this is about the first time in the club's history that the person on the top rung of the ladder is also the highest rated player and that the person on the 2nd rung is the 2nd highest rated player. Otherwise, things are merrily mixed up as usual. The Reynolds Rating System is still being used, but Mr. Tullis is doing the figuring to give Jim Reynolds more time to take care of other matters.

Lowell Tullis has also been appointed as the club's tournament director for the present Precita Valley Round Robin Championship Tourney, so some new ideas are being introduced. Only ten have entered this tourney, with the first round on July 10th producing only one mild surprising result as Jim Reynolds defeated Dave Gibson. Other results were as follows: Leighton Allen 1, Lowell Tullis 0; John Ramirez 1, Paul Bogos ian 0; Ted Gee 1. George Lydeard O; and James Gough and Mike Kramer drew byes due to the fact the tourney is divided into two sections. The favorites to win the tourney are Leighton Allen, the club's Open champ, and James Gough, the club's defending regular champion. Of course, the other participants are not being counted out of it.

1958 DR. MARCHAND WINS U.S. AMATEUR TITLE

Dr. Erich W. Marchand of Rochester, New York, won the 1958 U. S. Amateur Chess Championship in the tournament at Asbury Park, New Jersey. Played over the Memorial Day weekend, 142 players were attracted to set a record attendance Stuart Margulies, a Columbia student, and Claude Hillinger, a City College grad, tied Dr. Marchand on game scores, 52-2, but placed 2nd and 3rd on Median tiebreaking points.

The Women's Amateur Title was won by Mrs. M. Fuchs of Kent, Conn., and she was closely followed by Miss Isabelle Lynn of Washington, D.C., who defeated Mrs. Fuch in their individual game.

Dr. Marchand is a correspondent writer for CHESS IIFE, his column, CHESS TACTICS FOR BEGINNERS, appearing every other issue. He left the tourney to make the long drive back home not knowing he was to be its ultimate winner.

BACL ROVING

WITH THE TEAM NATCHES all behind us for the 1958 season, your reporter has little roving to do. He picks this time, then, to talk about the 1958 Chess Olympics to be held in Munich this Fall, from September 29 to October 23. It has been a shame that the U.S. has not been able to participate in the past two Chess Olympics -- , because, of all things, LACK OF FUNDS! The USSR looks at this as propaganda to their advantage -- , how can the rich USA be so broke?? What they can imply is obvious!

With the inspired efforts of USCF President Jerry Spann and CHESS REVIEW editor I. A. Horowitz, the United States Chess Federation and the American Chess Foundation are combining efforts to raise the necessary funds to send a winning American team to Munich! You can help, too, by donating even as little as \$1.00. You can send your donation to Guthrie McClain, 244 Kearny St., San Francisco 8, Calif., or directly to Jerry Spann, 3011 Paseo, Oklahoma City 18, Okla. Checks should be made out to: USCF OIYMPIC TEAM FUND. Let's back that winning American team to represent us in the 1958 Chess Olympics at Munich!!

San Francisco Bay Area Chess League - A Division

1958 REVIEW - MECHANICS' INSTITUTE KNIGHTS WERE JUST UNBEATABLE!

The 1958 team champions of the A Division of the S. F. Bay Area League, Mechanics Institute Knights, were just unbeatable. This statistician believes you will agree as you glance over the round by round results and the individual statistics below.

Round By Round

	M. I. KNICHTS	INDIVIDUAL STATISTICS - KNIGHTS	HACE V
5	M. I. Bishops 2	Player W L D S	core
6	Oakland		-1
4	Castle 3	C. Svalberg 7 1 2 8	-2
7	California0		1-21
4	Golden Gate 22	K. Bendit (Capt.) 7 2 1 7	22
6	M. I. Bishops 1		-2
5	Oakland	J. Schmitt 6 1 2 7	-2
3	Castle		-3
7	California 0	Branton 0 0 1	2 2
4	Golden Gate 3	Forfeits 0 2 0 0	-2
52	Total 172	Totals 45 10 15 52	-17분

As can be seen from the round by round matches the M. I. Knights had very few close ones. The Castle team, which landed 4th in the final standings, seemed to give the champions their worst fits; and, Golden Cate, 2nd in the standings, gave them a respectable battle in the last round. Otherwise, the Knights just plowed over their opponents. It was conceded at the start that the Knights looked good to repeat as champions, though Golden Cate was expected to be in the thick of things all the way. The Golden Cate team, however, apparently was not at its best against the lowly-rated M. I. Bishops in the third round and the last-placers University of California team in the seventh round. In the latter match, Golden Cate may have won had Henry Gross accepted a draw offered by Edwin Simanis, but he gambled to win; yet who could foresee that Herbert Rosenbaum was to pull his game out of the fire? Then Golden Cate failed to capitalize on a break in the fifth round when their opponents, the Knights, only showed up with six players.

We do not wish to take anything from the champs. Looking over the individual statistics of the team, we find they regularly stayed with seven men, Messrs. Bill Addison, Charles Bagby, Kurt Bendit, Alan Bourke, Earl Pruner, James Schmitt, and Charles Svalberg; —and all of them landed within the top ten leading players of the league. They used only one alternate, Branton, who drew his only game, and they only forfeited two games. Their captain, Kurt Bendit, did a commendable job with the team. One might say that any person would do well as captain of such a powerful team, but the success of even this powerhouse needed a good responsible guiding hand and Mr. Bendit was it. He took full blame for the few adverse conditions that arose with the team and felt responsible for a top performance from each member at all times.

SO HAIL TO THE CHAMPIONS -- , THE MECHANICS' INSTITUTE KNIGHTS!!

It takes other teams to make up a league so we feel we must briefly comment on the others. We said enough about Golden Cate, who finished 2nd, --they just didn't seem to have it when it counted most but they did put up interesting battles. In the 3rd spot was the surprising team from Oakland, much improved over the 1957 team. Their improvement, by the way, was predicted by this reporter. Castle did about as expected, but most interesting was their surprising close matches with the Knights, but then, didn't they upset them completely last year? The Bishops played as expected except for one startling drawn match with Golden Gate, and California, tough last year, seemed to fade under the strain of exams over such a long season.

San Francisco Bay Area Chess League - B Division 1958 REVIEW - PRECITA VALLEY WINS PHOTO FINISH!

Unlike the A Division champs, the B Division champions of the S. F. Bay Area Chess League, Precita Valley, had to hold off the onrushing Alemeda team that came storming down the stretch ala Silky Sullivan, and a strong never-say-die Mechanics' Institute B team.

Round By Round	INDIVIDUAL STATISTICS - PRECITA VALLEY
PRECITA VAILEY	Player W L D Score
42 Golden Gate 22	L. Allen 7 2 1 72-22
3 Mission 4	J. Gough 7 2 0 7 -2
42 Mechanics' Inst. B 22	R. Blakemore 6 2 1 62-22
4 Alameda 25	D. Gibson (Capt.) 5 2 1 52-22
5½ Mechanics' Inst. B/1 1½	N. Renaud 4 4 2 5-5
	J. Reynolds 3 3 4 5 -5
6 Golden Gete 1	I. Vegvary 3 1 3 42-22
5 Mission	V. Jewett 1 0 0 1-0
32 Mechanics' Inst. B 32	E. Poka 1. 0 0 1 -0
2 Alameda 5	A. Castellanos 0 1 0 0-1
42 Mechanics' Inst. B/1 25	W. Pattullo 0 1 0 0-1
43 Total 27	Games forfeited 0 3 0 0 -3
expenses ent unintains BEO-p ava p	Totals 37 21 12 43-27
Adobeth and ambnatus to describ, and o	Potters to Deliver the doubled Deems has a William

Precita Valley stuttered slightly at the start. Though they got by Golden Gate, they had an off night versus their second team, Mission. After that it looked like they were going to run away with it until the 8th round when they showed up minus two players versus Mechanics' Institute B and were lucky to escape with a drawn match. Then, with James Gough taking time out to get married, and their young star, Ivan Vegvary, being ill, they lost badly to Alameda, the team that seemed to get stronger as it went along. However, the team from Precita Valley pulled itself together again and played back to mid-season form to win their last round and manage to nose out Alameda and Mech. Inst. B, finishing a "rags to riches" story, the same team ending up on the bottom of the pile last year.

When the season started, Mech. Inst. B, Golden Gate, and Alameda were figured to really battle it out with only small regard to Precita Valley. This writer predicted it would be between Golden Gate and Precita Valley, pointing out that the latter were being barely nosed out in their matches last year, but such a prediction was taken lightly.

Only three players, Leighton Allen, Bob Blakemore, and James Gough landed among the top ten players of this division, though Dave Gibson and Ivan Vegvary finished with plus scores among the regulars. Noel Renaud and Jim Reynolds gave even performances. Captain Dave Gibson, then, must be given some praise for getting the points where they counted most.

SO, THREE CHEERS FOR THE B DIVISION CHAMPS, PRECITA VALIEY::

Rounding out the B Division of the league, we believe we have given the picture regarding the Alameda stretch-running team (if they ever get off to a good start--, watch out!), and the defending champs of 1957, Mech. Inst. B, which was a strong team but lost the crucial ones to Precita Valley and Alameda. Mech. Inst. B/1 was an inconsistent team, having difficulties of keeping the same players together, but proved a thorn here and there. The Mission team, sometimes referred to as the "Missionaries" from P.V., weren't expected to do anything and yet they scored a couple of surprising upsets and even led the league in the beginning. Golden Gate, strong on paper, just never got their team together, the "strong on the paper" fellows seldom showing up or we believe they could have been contenders all the way and perhaps, even taking it all.

Page 6	JULY	CHESS	HERALD	1958	Vol. 3, No.	7 .
*****	××××××××××××××××××××××××××××××××××××××	*******				
		TION	Edited By	Noel	Renaud	

(Send games to Noel Renaud, 125 Brompton Ave., San Francisco 12, Calif.)

GAME PIAYED IN A T	EAN MATCH	between	the
M. I. Bishops and California, March		rsity of	

Game No. 45

In this game both opponents have a Bishop out of play. The player who activates his Bishop first wins--, but he should have lost! Why play chess!

White: J. Hursch Black: D. Nieder NIMZO-INDIAN DEFENSE

1. P-Q4		N-KB3
2. P-QB4	0	P-K3
3. N-QB3	5 1	B-N5
4. Q-B2		P-Q4
5. P-QR3		BxN ch
6. OTR	-	21

Better is PxB--, the doubled Pawns being no disadvantage here.

6.	And Staumen	N-K5
7.	Q-B2	P-KB4
8.	P-K3	0-0
9.	B-Q3	N-08
-200 m	P-QN4	P-QN3?

This does not work out well. 10...., P-B4 is better.

11. P-B5
There is no risk involved in accepting the Pawn offered, 11. PxP, PxP;
12. Q-B6, etc.

11. P-B3 12. N-B3

12. N-B3
White should play 12. PxP as Black is forced to recapture with the Queen with subsequent loss of time.

12. . . . B-N2 13. 0-0 Q-B3 14. B-N2' R-B2

True a King-side attack seems indicated but this move does not further it. Maybe 14..., P-KN4 is better.

15. N-Q2 Q-R3
Temporarily preventing P-B3.

16. QR-K1	THE WAR I	N/2-B3
17. P-B3	N BETTER IN	NxN .
18. OXI	Walt Jose	N-R4
19. P-N31	- Salanty	P-QN4
20. Q-N2	de no nam	P-N4
21. 0-R3	n stabled	Q-N3

Necessary as White threatened 22. P-N4.

22. P-K4

White misses the opportunity to increase his advantage, 22. P-N4, if PxP; 23. BxQ, PxQ; 24. BxN. So, on 22. P-N4. Black is forced to play N-N2.

22. . . . BPxP 23. PxP RxR ch. 24. QxR

Obviously White loses a Pawn on the recapture by Rook, Bishop or King.

So far White has handled his Queen expertly, but his plan is now faulty. 26. Q-Q2 is stronger. If 26..., P-KR3, then 27. Q-QB2 maintains the pressure with the threat of winning the Knight by PxP followed by B-N6.

26. . . . P-KR3 27. PxP?

This is not to White's advantage. Why not keep Black's Bishop out of play with P-K5?

27. . . . KPxP 28. R-KB1 N-B3. 29. Q-K5 B-B1 30. Q-Q6 B-Q2

Here 30..., Q-K3 would probably draw as 31. Q-B7 is countered by 31..., Q-Q2.

31. B-B5
If 31. RxN, qxR; 32. qxB, q-B7 ch and draws.

Should not allow Black Queen to penetrate 34. R-K5, Q-KB2 (Q-Q2; 35. QxQ, NxQ); 35. Q-K6, Q-N3; 36. Q-B5 and Black is helpless.

An oversight. 39. Q-K2 should be sufficient. If 39..., Q-R8 ch; 40. K-Q2, N-K5 ch; 41. RxN wins.

(continued on page 7)

Humarum BS

JULY

I - Tandam Chess

TANDUM CHESS IS PLAYED with two players on each side. Unlike consultation chess, one player does not know what his partner has in mind. It is very easy indeed for one player to pull off a brilliant combination only to have his partner louse it up on the following move. At any rate it is an exceedingly interesting type of chess.

Oakland Chess & Checker Club, Dec. 17, '57.

White: Mssrs. W. Carr

& R. Barringer

Black: The Allies
SARA SOTA OPENING

The main idea of this opening is try and transpose into the Colle System or the Moehler Attack of the Giucco Piano, etc. To avoid this leads Black out of the book entirely. With proper play, however, Black can obtain at least an equal game.

1. P-QB3 P-KN3 2. P-K4 P-K4

There already seems to be a difference of opinion as to what opening moves should be played here--, but the best is yet to come!!

3. N-KB3 P-Q3
The position has now transposed into a rather bazaar variation or should I say deviation of the Philidor Defense.

4. B-B4 N-KB3 5. 0-01? NxP 6. R-K1 B-B4?

The Blacks are all befuddled!

7. Q-N3: N-B4 8. BxP ch K-K2

9. Q-B4 B-Q6
If N-Q6, then 10. N-Q4.

10. Q-R4 ch K-Q2 11. Q-N3 B-N2? 12. P-QN4 R-B1

13. PXN RXB 14. NXP ch BXN

15. QxB/Q3 Q-N4

16. Q-R3 ch K-B3 17. P-Q4 B-B5

18. Q-R3 ch P-Q4

19. P-N3: BxB

20. R-K6 ch K-N4

from the files of

BOB BARRINGER

21. N-R3 ch RxN 22. 0-03 ch K-R4 23. R-N1 P-QR3 24. P-0B4 B-N5 25. R-K3111 OXR 26. PxQ! N-B3 27. RxB1 KxR! 28. Q-N3 ch K-R4

1958

29. 9-R3 mate

Now see? Isn't tandum chess wonderful?

Cames Section - HURSCH-NIEDER

(Continued from page 6)

39. . . . N-K5

40. 0-B6 ch

Could try 40. K-Ql, Q-B8 ch (if 40..., Q-N6 ch; 41. K-Kl); 41. K-B2, K-R4 (not R-B7; 42. Q-K8 ch and White still has a win); 42. Q-Q3, R-B6; 43. Q-Q1 and White is still in business.

40. . . K-R4

41. Resigns

 $\underline{P} \underline{R} \underline{O} \underline{B} \underline{L} \underline{E} \underline{M} \underline{C} \underline{O} \underline{R} \underline{N} \underline{E} \underline{R}$ Solution to Problem No. 14:

1. R-B5, BxR; 2. Q-B1 ch and mate next; or 1..., K-N1; 2. Q-K3 ch and mate next.

Problem No. 15 by F. V. Schulz

BIACK (9)

· · · k p · p ·

R. . . p

. . . P . . <u>b</u>

WHITE (8)

White to play and mate in two moves. Solution next month. Value: 4 points. Send problems or solutions to editor:

117 Brewster Street

San Francisco 10, Calif.

Phone: 11 8-5759

Solutions to be postmarked not later than August 10, 1958.

(For Problem Ladder Standings to date see page 2; also see comments regarding ladder on page 2.)

CHIESPOTE CINENTONS of Lowell Tullis

WE THE ARE YOU? -- NOW THAT I NEED YOU SO BADLY?

WHAT IS THE INVISIBLE BARRIER between chess players and the world of chess clubs, tourneys, etc.? Most club histories reveal many visitors and short time members, but for some unknown reason these chess players seem to prefer to play "catch-as-catch-can" chess in their parlors. Does the point toward public apathy towards organized competition? Granting this fact, how can you explain the GIANTS or the 49ERS . . or the entry list for any open bowling tourney?

Perhaps, then, chess is too complicated... But, one of America's most popular parlor games, poker, requires one to "invest" his hard earned money in his education. Does part of any game compare to proper bidding of a bridge hand for complication? Does it require too much patience? Name one thing really worthwhile that does not demand patience and much hard work. Competition too scarce? Too difficult to get to a club? Even if you are bedridden I can find you more opponents than you have time for.

Lack of publicity? At least one of the leading newspapers in nearly every major city in this country carries a regular chess column. In New Orleans chess has even broken into the television media.

Nearly every man who has graduated from college has played chess, and yet very few of the chess club members are college grads. Chess is purported to be a game of the intellect but those who are so fortunate to be able to develop their talent rudely turn their backs to Caissa.

Can you remember way back when? -- When you were in school? (You could at least try.) It was nice to be from a school that had a winning team--; but did you yell less loudly if your team was always losing? In retrospect we can see that the competition was the ting. But water is constantly passing under the bridge, so we, like most, have "matured". We have left our childish ideas far far behind. We no longer feel that our opponents are actually fine people who, by fate, just happen to be on the other side.

With our maturity, we work, live, and play "dog-eat-dog", and somehow sportsman-ship gets shuffled off into a dark corner and lost in limbo. As we grow older we tend to fall into two groups; --those that are satisfied with their lot or realize that they have reached as high as they can--, or those who must win regardless; no handicap too great, no swindle too crass, nothing unfair.

I have, and am sure you have, too, played many games where my opponent kept playing after he was as much as two pieces down and nothing more than a weak defensive position. Let us remember chess is only a game, not a matter of life or death. If you feel you are beaten, resign. But, resign as gracefully as possible (I suggest you rise and offer your hand to your opponent), and if the hour is not too late, ask him for the honor of another game.

It has been said many times, that every chess player has his own private built-in alibi, which is always dusted off when the occasion arises. We never consider for a moment the obvious fact that he must have played a better game. We will agree, though, he was lucky enough to have won, but what does that prove??

Only in the spirit of sportsmanship, good fellowship, and comraderie, can this Royal Geme survive the battle against time and live tomorrow under the watchful eye of today's neophyte.

SOUNT USCIFF DONATE TO THE CHESS ULYMPICS