

# Precita Valley CHESS HERALD

COMMUNITY CENTER  
Agency of the COMMUNITY CHESS

A MONTHLY CHESS NEWS BULLETIN

Published by the Precita Valley Chess Club  
Representing the San Francisco Bay Area Chess League

Vol. 2, No. 6 June 1957 - 534 Precita Avenue, San Francisco 10, California

## North-South Match

SOUTHERN CALIFORNIA NIPS NORTHERN CALIFORNIA 37-36 !!!

FRESNO, June 2. The 24th annual North-South match could not be much closer as the Southern Californians nipped the Northern Californians 37-36!! Despite the cooling system used in the HOTEL CALIFORNIAN the issue at hand was pretty hot! The South never did grab a commanding lead--; in the early stages the score was 5-5 and a little later 10-10 and even 15-15 before South actually took a slight lead of one point and then two points as the results slowly went up on the blackboards. Toward the conclusion the matter was still in doubt with South holding that slight edge at 32 $\frac{1}{2}$ -31 $\frac{1}{2}$ , then 33-32 until it was 35-34 in South's favor. Then came the report from board no. 53, which seemed to settle matters, as Mr. R. Seiden of the South won over Mr. G. Farly of the North to make it 36-34 with only three games remaining. Charlie Henderson, the personable captain of the South shouted out his condolences to the likeable captain of the North, Guthrie McClain, who at the time was ending his game in a draw with M. Gordon. The Hendricks-Weinbaum game ended in a draw also, and Henry Gross closed the gap only so slightly with a win over S. Almgren of Hollywood to make the final score SOUTH 37, NORTH 36!!

## HENRY GROSS WINS GAMES AND FRIENDS AT THE PRECITA VALLEY CHESS CLUB !!

Henry Gross, SFRACL tournament director (among other things), treated the members of the Precita Valley Chess Club to a nice chat and simultaneous exhibition on Thursday, June 13. He won 13 and lost 1 (to Dave Gibson), and needless to say, gained many new friends as it was the first contact with this chess personality for a few.

Much to the club's delight, Mr. Gross gifted them with several good chess books. Though the Precita Valley Community Center boasts a fair sized library the Chess Club itself had very few chess books. Henry's contribution just about tripled the chess book section!

Best quip of the evening came about when Mr. Gross offered, "If you wish me to go by a move merely say, 'Pass'"; to which John Ramirez inquired, "How many times are we allowed to pass?". Then laughter broke out as Henry countered, "What do you think this is anyway---, a crap game??!!".

All in all, a good time was had by all those who took part, including the losers!

Over the years, however, the North still holds the upper hand, winning 14 to the South's 7, with 3 ties being on the record. Since the affair started in 1926, the North has scored 489 points to the South's 417. Just the same, the South was jubilant over the result, making it two years in a row that the North had to take a bow. Only once before in the history of this match has this occurred-- that was way back in 1931 and 1932.

In general the match was a great success for the California State Chess Federation as 73 boards is an all time high, breaking the record of 72 boards which were played in 1954.

## Earl Pruner Wins Speed Tourney

In the California State Rapid-Transit Championship (10-second) Tourney, Earl Pruner came out the winner. This reporter didn't obtain full details but there must have been a record turnout as the tournament went on past midnight Saturday on the eve of the North-South team match.

BOX SCORES OF THE NORTH-SOUTH MATCH ARE ON PAGE 3. SEE BACL ROVING REPORTER ON PAGE 5 FOR HIGHLIGHTS AND COMMENTS ON THIS COLORFUL MATCH.

Vol. 2

CHESS HERALD  
June 1957

No. 6

Editor.....Jim Reynolds  
 Games Editor.....Noel Renaud  
 Published monthly by the Precita Valley  
 Chess Club, 534 Precita Avenue, San  
 Francisco 10, California. Phone VA4-0552  
 Affiliated with the SAN FRANCISCO BAY  
 AREA CHESS LEAGUE.

Subscription rate: \$1.00 per year. Mail  
 to treasurer listed below.

Club Directory

Director: Jim Reynolds, 117 Brewster St.  
 San Francisco 10, Calif. MI 8-5759  
 Ass't. Director: Paul Bogosian, 3973-A  
 25th St., San Francisco 14 VA 4-7186  
 Secretary: Ivan Vegvary, 436 Greenwich  
 San Francisco, Calif. GA 1-3597  
 Treasurer: Noel Renaud, 125 Brompton Av.  
 San Francisco 12, Calif. JU 4-3969

C. D. 's VIEWSExchange Notes

FROM THE WASHINGTON CHESS DIVAN we learn  
 that the DIVAN won its third consecutive  
 D. C. League title scoring  $13\frac{1}{2}$ - $1\frac{1}{2}$ , 3  
 games in front of the 2nd place teams of  
 Arlington, Federal, and Silver Springs  
 all with  $10\frac{1}{2}$ - $3\frac{1}{2}$  records for 1957.

After 7 rounds Hans Berliner is lead-  
 ing the District championship with  $6\frac{1}{2}$ ,  
 Eliot Hearst is 2nd with 6, and Ivan  
 Romanenko 3rd with 5.

Your C.D. cheated this issue. On  
 page 8 you will see my comment about  
 the June nominations. Such comments  
 really belong here. However, I wanted  
 space to remind you that this column  
 will always belong to the club director  
 no matter who he is. Also I wish to  
 remind those who are accepting nomin-  
 ations to look in our CHARTER and fam-  
 ilarize themselves with the duties  
 connected with their offices. Also  
 note all of the rules of the club so  
 that you may form an opinion more wisely  
 whenever changes come up.

It seems to me Leighton Allen will  
 be your new club director in September.  
 He will have all the help he needs or  
 desires from me. I only want him to  
 also have your support.

This has nothing to do with editor-  
 ship of this periodical, but I'll glad-  
 ly consider someone for the job!

Let's Get AcquaintedCHRISTMAS ALL YEAR ROUND WITH RENAUD

SINCE HE HAS BEEN mentioned at various  
 times in this periodical, Noel Renaud  
 could not see any reason why he was  
 selected to be featured in this column.  
 There is method to the madness! As  
 everyone knows, Christmas is the time of  
 the year when most everyone seems to be  
 in good spirits and behave more friendly  
 than at any other time of the year. By  
 the time June and July rolls around,  
 people are inclined to find enemies and  
 the mud starts flying. Well, Noel re-  
 minds us of Christmas. For those who  
 know French, Noel means Christmas. Yep,  
 you guessed it, Mr. Renaud was born on  
 Christmas day! His parents, being some-  
 what French, simply named him after  
 Christmas.

Noel was born in Springfield, Mass.  
 We do not know when or how he came upon  
 the game of chess, but when he was a  
 youth in his old home town, he played the  
 game well enough to become the New  
 England States champion in 1924. He also  
 wrote a chess column for a newspaper  
 there.

In 1929 Noel Renaud moved west to San  
 Francisco. His main profession being  
 that of a music teacher, he bought a  
 music school in this city, but it went to  
 pot during the depression years. Being  
 versatile and not down-hearted, Noel  
 turned to his other profession, that of  
 draftsman, at times to keep the bread and  
 butter on the table.

Noel Renaud has belonged to various  
 clubs here in San Francisco, but his  
 unselfish cooperation and helping hand at  
 the new Precita Valley Chess Club really  
 kept this club in business. Noel is  
 constantly behind the scenes and so his  
 achievements never come to light. He  
 never gets up and shouts but merely does  
 many little things that people just don't  
 notice or merely take for granted.

We can only reiterate one thing, i.e.  
 he was rightly named, for with Renaud it  
 is Christmas all year round. His gifts  
 are not wrapped up in pretty paper, but  
 they are there just the same-- not under  
 a decorated tree, but here and there.  
 And--, Noel is happy he can make these  
 unseen gifts.

## FRESNO - NORTH-SOUTH BOX SCORE

North had White on the odd boards.

NORTH (36)

SOUTH (37)

1. I. Konig.....	$\frac{1}{2}$	I. Kashdan.....	$\frac{1}{2}$
2. W. Addison.....	1	R. Martin.....	0
3. G. Ramirez.....	0	Dr. P. Lepiken... 1	
4. E. Pruner.....	$\frac{1}{2}$	I. Rivise.....	$\frac{1}{2}$
5. H. Gross.....	1	S. Almgren.....	0
6. J. Schmitt.....	$\frac{1}{2}$	H. Borochoew... 1	$\frac{1}{2}$
7. P. D. Smith....	1	S. Yarnak.....	0
8. W. T. Adams....	0	S. Mazner.....	1
9. A. Janushkowsky.	$\frac{1}{2}$	L. Simon.....	$\frac{1}{2}$
10. H. Bullwinkle..	$\frac{1}{2}$	S. Graf.....	$\frac{1}{2}$
11. T. Fries.....	0	R. Lorber.....	1
12. G. McClain....	$\frac{1}{2}$	M. Gordon.....	$\frac{1}{2}$
13. E. Simanis....	0	J. Rinaldo.....	1
14. R. Plock.....	0	R. Brieger.....	1
15. O. Celle.....	1	R. Syvertson... 0	
16. R. Willson....	0	R. Travers.....	1
17. W. P. Barlow... 0		G. Soules.....	1
18. C. Sedlack....	$\frac{1}{2}$	L. Standers....	$\frac{1}{2}$
19. C. Svalberg....	0	G. Rubin.....	1
20. E. C. Jonas....	1	L. Johnson.....	0
21. W. Hendricks... 1	$\frac{1}{2}$	Dr. S. Weinbaum.. 1	$\frac{1}{2}$
22. E. Edmondson... 1		H. Abel.....	0
23. Dr. R. Hultgren.	1	A. Raymond.....	0
24. R. Freeman....	$\frac{1}{2}$	R. Reed.....	$\frac{1}{2}$
25. F. Ruys.....	$\frac{1}{2}$	R. Dean.....	$\frac{1}{2}$
26. O. Bender.....	0	F. Hazard.....	1
27. M. Saca.....	1	E. Fisher.....	0
28. N. T. Austin... 0		P. Kelemen.....	1
29. K. Bopp.....	1	N. Hultgren....	0
30. F. Adelman....	$\frac{1}{2}$	H. Rogosin.....	$\frac{1}{2}$
31. G. Hultgren....	0	K. Warner.....	1
32. L. Daugherty... 1		C. J. Gibbs....	0
33. C. Wilson.....	$\frac{1}{2}$	G. Goehler.....	$\frac{1}{2}$
34. F. Christensen.	$\frac{1}{2}$	S. Samson.....	$\frac{1}{2}$
35. R. Baker.....	0	C. Henderson... 1	
36. E. Yaggie.....	0	Z. Offenbach... 1	
37. D. Foley.....	$\frac{1}{2}$	G. Ramspott....	$\frac{1}{2}$
38. L. Tomori.....	0	N. Robinson....	1
39. F. Crofut.....	1	L. Mercy.....	0
40. S. H. Van Gelder	1	W. Melworm....	0
41. D. Nieder.....	0	J. Thompson....	1
42. M. Bredoff....	1	J. Hamilton....	0
43. E. Jeffers....	$\frac{1}{2}$	D. Maron.....	$\frac{1}{2}$
44. F. Weinberg....	$\frac{1}{2}$	A. Gates.....	$\frac{1}{2}$
45. R. Barringer... 0		F. Sleep.....	1
46. E. Hoffer.....	1	R. Isaac.....	0
47. E. T. Dana....	1	D. Purvis.....	0
48. C. Fotias.....	$\frac{1}{2}$	A. Thompson....	$\frac{1}{2}$
49. W. Rebold.....	0	G. Powers.....	1
50. E. Wreny.....	$\frac{1}{2}$	W. Wheeler....	$\frac{1}{2}$
51. A. Parvu.....	0	D. Karpilewsky.	1
52. D. McLeod....	0	Er. B. Collins..	1
53. G. Farly.....	0	R. Seiden.....	1

54. G. Cakes.....	0	K. Forrest.....	1
55. L. Turner.....	1	P. Chamberlain.. 0	
56. M. Phetplace... 1		S. Mann.....	0
57. P. Jeffs.....	0	C. Ulrich.....	1
58. J. Reynolds....	$\frac{1}{2}$	L. Fielding....	$\frac{1}{2}$
59. R. Delashmatt.. 1		R. Betzer.....	0
60. H. King.....	1	Mrs. L. Simon.. 0	
61. L. Allen.....	1	H. S. Hart.....	0
62. F. Wreden.....	1	D. Rogosin.....	0
63. V. Mitchell....	$\frac{1}{2}$	H. Rader.....	$\frac{1}{2}$
64. L. Krogness....	0	D. Gillette....	1
65. E. Hawksworth.. 0		E. Shields.....	1
66. R. Merritt....	1	M. Rettick.....	0
67. D. Bissonette.. 0		R. Holland....	1
68. D. Bevill.....	0	J. Hunt.....	1
69. A. Hoerchner... 1		R. Downs.....	0
70. W. D. Barlow... 1		J. Zantz.....	0
71. V. Van Gelder.. 1		V. T. Snow....	0
72. M. Husscini....	1	R. Kashdan....	0
73. D. Downs.....	0	W. Tonelli....	1
Totals	36		37

## NORTH-SOUTH THROUGH THE YEARS

Year	North	South	Winner
1926	$4\frac{1}{2}$	$7\frac{1}{2}$	South
1927	$6\frac{1}{2}$	$5\frac{1}{2}$	North
1930	$6\frac{1}{2}$	$5\frac{1}{2}$	North
1931	$10\frac{1}{2}$	$14\frac{1}{2}$	South
1932	$9\frac{1}{2}$	$10\frac{1}{2}$	South
1934	$12\frac{1}{2}$	$12\frac{1}{2}$	TIE
1935	$12\frac{1}{2}$	$12\frac{1}{2}$	TIE
1936	$9\frac{1}{2}$	$15\frac{1}{2}$	South
1937	13	12	North
1938	$14\frac{1}{2}$	$10\frac{1}{2}$	North
1939	14	12	North
1940	$18\frac{1}{2}$	$6\frac{1}{2}$	North
1946	14	11	North
1947	17	5	North
1948	$28\frac{1}{2}$	$28\frac{1}{2}$	TIE
1949	$24\frac{1}{2}$	$26\frac{1}{2}$	South
1950	24	21	North
1951	$38\frac{1}{2}$	$19\frac{1}{2}$	North
1952	32	27	North
1953	34	27	North
1954	42	30	North
1955	35	24	North
1956	$31\frac{1}{2}$	$35\frac{1}{2}$	South
1957	36	37	South

Thus, looking over the record, one can readily see that the modern era seems to be from 1948 onward. Or so it seems the North-South match first took on a sort of "gigantic" scope from that year on. Looking at the past two years, one may surmise that the South is slowly improving over the North....

S. F. Bay Area Chess LeagueREVIEWING THE 1957 SEASON

THE NEWS IS NOW STALE that the M. I. KNIGHTS and Mech. Inst. B. team won championships in the "A" and "B" divisions respectively. However, it is always of some interest to account and review how it all came about, or how did the also rans fair the rough storm.

First let's look at the "A" division. The champs, the KNIGHTS from Mechanics' Institute, really didn't start off too well. In the first round, a strong team from the University of California drew with them  $3\frac{1}{2}$ - $3\frac{1}{2}$ , and in the 2nd round they lost to a surprising Castle team 4-3. After that they seemed to snap out of it, crushing the BISHOPS  $6\frac{1}{2}$ - $\frac{1}{2}$ , then Palo Alto 6-1, and Oakland  $6\frac{1}{2}$ - $\frac{1}{2}$ . They defeated Golden Gate in the crucial  $4\frac{1}{2}$ - $2\frac{1}{2}$  to gain a playoff with the same team to win again 4-3. The Golden Gate team looked good, winning to the last round except with the Univ. of Calif., with whom they also drew  $3\frac{1}{2}$ - $3\frac{1}{2}$ . The Univ. of California almost took everything, for, besides drawing with the two top teams, they knocked Castle out of contention with a decisive 5-2 win only to be upset in the last round by the BISHOPS 4-3. No team was really bad, as the Castle team proved by upsetting the favored KNIGHTS 4-3. The BISHOPS were always trouble, Palo Alto seemed to improve as the season went along, and Oakland just couldn't untie itself.

The "B" division scramble proved to be interesting from the start when the new team from Precita Valley upset the favored Golden Gate team. This proved to be fatal to Golden Gate as they won over the champs, Mechanics' Institute B team, in the last round 4-3. Alameda started off poorly, but reawakened to make a comeback and almost grab it all. The Mech. Inst. B/1 team, like the BISHOPS, were always troublesome. The defending champs, Oakland, seemed to give up in the later rounds, while the Univ. of California improved as the season went along. Precita Valley's debut was interesting, expecting to finish last--; they won a match, drew 1, and 3 of their 4 losses were only by 1 point!

The StatisticsBOB WILLSON, DR. BEN GROSS WIN INDIVIDUAL HONORS!!

BOB WILLSON OF THE CASTLE Chess Club won the individual honors in the "A" division while Dr. Ben Gross of the Golden Gate Chess Club won the same honors in the "B" division.

It is true that there were other high scorers, such as C. Capp, A. Bourke, H. Bullwinkle, H. Gross, J. Schmitt, W. Addison, etc. in the "A" division; and W. Ross, L. Talcott, etc. in the "B" division. However, the honor was decided in Bob Willson's favor on the percentage rule of the league, Bob winning five and having no losses. Though W. Ross tied with Dr. B. Gross with five wins and no losses in the "B" division, the honor was given to Dr. Gross since all of his wins were made on board 1.

The statistical report as shown in the May issue of CHESS HERALD surprised many. Especially, it was noticed that Gil Ramirez, California State Champ and "Open" State champ, could not score a win in 7 rounds, counting the playoff round. It should be pointed out that such was the case, but one must remember that Gil played board 1 consistently and was naturally a target, his opponents being up to win from such a noted player. It also should be noted that his opponents were of the highest caliber in this area, namely, William Addison, Jim Schmitt, and Charles Bagby just to give the idea.

All in all, this reporter would say that no player need be ashamed of the statistics. It stands to reason that some win and draw, and so some must lose! Afterall, aren't we in a very good and strong league?!

XX  
EDITOR'S NORTH-SOUTH JOKE

I do not wish to spotlight myself but my ending in the North-South match was a sidelight in itself. As we agreed to a draw after 56 moves, I kidded my worthy opponent, Mr. Leo W. Fielding of L.A., "I see a win for me in 200 moves from now but I don't wish to stay and prove it!". Mr. Fielding suggested, "Then let us return to our respective cities!". After looking it over, though, Mr. Fielding, I challenge you to finish the game in the hereafter, if we both land in the same place!

B A C I R O V I N G R E P O R T E RNorth-South Match Highlights

THE PRE-GAME REMARKS DESERVE some comment. CSCF President George Goehler gave each captain exactly one minute to give a pep talk. The minute was not needed. Guthrie McClain (North) was given the floor first and his remark was, "Charlie Henderson has about 15 more players than I have, but all I can say is he must really be scraping the bottom of the barrel!". Charlie Henderson (South) also cut his speech short with, "All I can say is I'm betting MY money on the South--, McClain is betting OTHER people's money on the North!".

Whether these short blasts had anything to do with the outcome of the match is anybody's guess. As it was there weren't too many members at the meeting. Important issues were being discussed. For one thing there was that rating business. It is this reporter's opinion that the general feeling was that nobody felt that Kenneth Harkness was rating California chess players incorrectly, but since nobody else was willing to do the job that we were forced to accept it anyway. I don't think this was the right move, but then the meeting was so unrepresentative of the members that there just weren't enough kibitzers. Then again, out of the approximately 150 people in Fresno for the match, how many were at the meeting? Still again, out of the 150 people there, how many were members of CSCF??

Which reminds me of the discussion about membership. It seems CSCF only has 227 members. Yet, some 150 usually come to the North-South match and another 95 or so always come to the California "Open". Not only that but they run some 5 or 6 leagues for team matches counting "A" and "B" divisions and each league has from 7 to 9 teams. All this reporter can say is that that is a lot of action for just 227 wood-pushers. Somebody is cheating someplace. Is it you?

May I make one suggestion to CSCF? You have a periodical called THE CALIFORNIA CHESS REPORTER. It gets to several chess enthusiasts. It lacks one very important item, i.e., "Where do I mail my \$2.50?". It seems to me that this fellow's name and address is a secret. Please make it be known. For readers here, it is Dr. Ralph Hultgren, 1501 LeRoy Ave., Berkeley, Calif.

Nicest effect at the North-South match was the fact that the HOTEL CALIFORNIAN was so nicely air-conditioned. It was so good that many were seen in the hotel with coats on, but when they went outside the coats were immediately doffed!

What puzzles me is this? There was Dr. H. J. Ralston who I know is from San Francisco and is a very good chess player. However, I didn't notice his name in the lineup! There were other good chess players missing. Hey, where were you???:

Bill Barlow donated a beautiful chess set to be raffled off. The money was to be used in helping Sonia Graf with the expenses for an international tournament in Europe. \$67 was raised. Incidentally, Mr. Barlow has participated in every North-South match since it began back in 1926!

The lowest blow from the South came when Charlie Henderson asked Guthrie McClain how many players he had. Answer, "69". (It later was 70). And, Charlie said, "Well, if I give you three that will make it 72!". (It later was 73). Doggone you Northerners, let's get on the ball! Credit to the turncoats--, they scored 2-1 for us. What a humiliatin' development!

Think that's all, huh? Well, on board 33 G. Goehler was playing C. Wilson. Mr. Wilson of the NORTH offered Mr. Goehler a draw. Mr. Goehler went to Mr. Henderson about the matter saying, "I have an advantage but my opponent offered a draw--; should I accept?". The South was leading by 2 pts. at the time so Mr. Henderson advised Mr. Goehler to accept. Back at his board, Mr. Goehler said, "Well, I accept. But--, I have a slight advantage. They know me better than you do so know I'd only goof it away, so I accept the draw!".

The North had one consolation--, young Fred Wreden won his game!

Oh, and one more, Leighton Allen won his game!

BUT, worst of all! THE SOUTH WON 37-36!!

XXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXX  
 GAMES SECTION  
 XXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXX

Edited by Noel Renaud

GAME PLAYED IN A TEAM match between the  
 Precita Valley C.C. and Mission High,  
 Thursday, May 9, 1957.

Game No. 25

White: A. R. Castellanos

Black: Leighton Allen

CATALAN SYSTEM

1. P-Q4 P-Q4

2. P-KN3 N-KB3

In the 1936 Nottingham Tournament, a  
 Tartakower-Alekhine game opened with 1.  
 P-Q4, N-KB3; 2. P-KN3, P-QB4. Alekhine  
 remarks: "A sounder answer to White's  
 unusual second move is 2...., P-Q4".

3. B-N2 P-K3

4. N-KB3 P-B4

5. P-B3 N-B3

6. O-O B-K2

loses a tempo and gives White a slight  
 edge. 6...., PXP is better.

7. PXP BXP

8. Q-B2 P-QR4

More lost time. There is nothing to  
 fear from the advance of White's Queen  
 Knight Pawn. Better get on with the  
 development. Either O-O or P-KR3 is  
 good.

9. B-N5 P-KR3

10. BxN QxB

11. QN-Q2 P-K4

In view of White's strong rejoinder,  
 Black should have resisted the tempta-  
 tion of this premature push.

12. P-K4 B-K3

Having embarked on this venture Black  
 could introduce complications with 12....  
 ..., PXP hoping for 13. NXP, Q-N3 fol-  
 lowed by 13...., B-B4 speeding his  
 development and gaining some initiative.

However, White has a better move in 13.  
 QXP, B-B4; 14. Q-QB4, Q-K2 and Black's  
 position is safe. Also to be considered  
 is 12...., P-Q5.

13. PXP BXP

14. P-B4 B-K3

Black should play 14...., BxN; 15. NxB,  
 O-O.

15. N-K4 Q-K2

16. NxB QxN

17. KR-Q1 . . . .

White cleverly sets a trap.

17. . . . QxP

It is safer to castle than to accept this  
 Greek gift.

18. QxQ BxQ

19. N-R4 . . . .

But White forgets to follow through! How  
 can one account for the vagaries of the  
 chessic mind! When he sacrificed a Pawn  
 on move 17, he must have had in mind this  
 stronger continuation, 19. NXP, NxN; 20.  
 R-K1 with a promising attack.

19. . . . O-O

20. N-B5 QR-Q1

Too passive. Might try 20...., P-KN3.  
 If 21. NXP ch, K-N2; 22. N-N4, B-K7. If  
 21. N-Q6, B-R3. The idea being to force  
 White to declare his intentions before  
 he can capture the Knight and check on K7.

21. P-N3 B-R3

With the text Black loses two Pawns and  
 White should win.

22. BxN PxB

23. N-K7 ch K-R2

24. NXP RxR ch

25. RxR P-R5

26. NXP PXP

27. PXP P-B3

28. N-Q7 R-B1

29. P-QN4 K-R1

Threatening R-Q1.

30. N-B5 B-N4

31. P-B4 R-QN1

32. N-K6 K-R2

33. R-Q4 . . . .

Should play 33. R-Q8 as the move practic-  
 ally forces the exchange of Rooks. If  
 33...., R-N2; 34. P-B5 and Black must  
 lose his Knight Pawn to prevent mate in  
 three moves.

33. . . . R-QR1

34. P-R4 R-R8 ch

35. K-B2 R-B8 ch

36. K-N2 R-QN8

37. P-N4 B-B3 ch

38. K-B2 R-KR8

39. P-R5 R-R7 ch

40. K-K3 R-R8

41. K-Q2 . . . .

Should try 41. P-B5. And White's King  
 eventually will get across to support the  
 passed Pawn. In the meantime he still  
 holds in reserve the threat R-Q8 etc. In  
 some eventuality he may find the square



